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ABOUT THE INFOGRAPHIC

This infographic is based on a report elaborated in 2018 by MEDREG and the Energy Community Regulatory Board (ECRB) that identified the complaint handling and dispute settlement procedures available to household customers in the energy market.

It provides the state of play of these procedures in different countries of the Mediterranean and Balkan regions, shares the best practices and offers recommendations for the implementation of minimum criteria to guarantee household consumers access to justice.

Based on the information provided by the Balkan and Mediterranean energy regulators, this infographic offers a comparative analysis of the different consumer protection aspects applied in these two regions.

The aim behind this overview is to bring out the best experiences, which can be easily embraced by other countries and to strengthen and improve the impact of complaint handling and dispute resolution functions.
10 MEDREG COUNTRIES
1. ALGERIA
2. EGYPT
3. GREECE
4. ITALY
5. JORDAN
6. MALTA
7. PALESTINE
8. PORTUGAL
9. SPAIN
10. TURKEY

3 COUNTRY MEMBERS OF BOTH MEDREG and Energy Community Regulatory Board (ECRB)
11. ALBANIA
12. BOSNIA and HERZEGOVINA
13. MONTENEGRO

6 COUNTRY MEMBERS OF ECRB
14. NORTH MACEDONIA
15. GEORGIA
16. KOSOVO*
17. MOLDOVA
18. SERBIA
19. UKRAINE

* This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244 and the ICJ Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

The infographic is based on the above-mentioned countries that have responded to the questionnaire. However, not all countries provided complete answers to all questions, which explains the lack of information for some of them or their absence in some graphs.
### Complaint and dispute settlement procedures:

An indicator of the level of functioning of energy markets and consumer protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHEN HOUSEHOLD CONSUMERS DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO:</th>
<th>WHEN HOUSEHOLD CONSUMERS DO HAVE ACCESS TO:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Effective means to address their complaints</td>
<td>1. Effective means to address their complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Inexpensive complaint procedures</td>
<td>2. Affordable means for dispute resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Information about their rights</td>
<td>3. Information on complaint procedures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- There is an ineffective and uneven balance between the rights and obligations of consumers and their service providers
- Household consumers are likely to be disadvantaged towards the supply companies they encounter due to the unequal means available and their economic situation

- The energy market is functioning well
- Consumers are confident about exercising their legitimate rights
- Consumers have access to justice and are empowered to exercise their rights

**Recommendations**

Public institutions must ensure a safe and fair position for consumers through dispute resolution mechanisms that guarantee the impartiality and objectivity of the decision taken.
IN MOST CASES: Energy regulators

IN A FEW CASES: Impartial entities, which are autonomous of the regulator, such as specialised alternative dispute resolution bodies.

Recommendations to guaranteeing consumers’ access to justice, as minimum criteria:

Known and transparent procedures:
Consumers must be given access to procedures without a specialised legal advice and/or legal representative.

Affordable means to raise a complaint:
Complaint procedures should be free of charge or at least affordable.

Effective procedures:
Time taken for the final administrative decision should not be too long.

Most household consumers are less experienced in legal matters compared to the supplier. Moreover, they are financially weaker and the value at stake does not economically justify the cost of a complaint process sometimes.

Therefore, out-of-court procedures should be designed in a way that facilitates consumers’ access to justice and encourages them to duly exercise their rights.

Clear, available and user-friendly information as well as transparency of procedures are key for the effectiveness of the out-of-court procedures and guarantee consumers’ access to justice.

The authorities responsible for handling the complaints and resolving the disputes should therefore ensure the presence of balanced and accessible procedures.
Regardless of the level of consumer protection, the role of the consumers in the market is gaining a growing interest from regulators.

**ALL RESPONDENT COUNTRIES** have consumer protection policies in place for their household energy consumers consisting of:

- regulations nested within energy, gas legislation and license provisions or
- consumer protection and/or administrative legislation.

The consumers’ right to complain is most commonly based in legislations and regulations.

**Almost all National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs) are competent for resolving disputes raised by energy consumers.**

In the Mediterranean and the Balkan countries:

- 17 NRAs are competent for resolving disputes by means of dispute settlement or complaint handling procedures and represent 89% of the total regulators.

- Only 2 NRAs (Greece and Spain) are not competent for resolving disputes by means of dispute settlement or complaint handling procedures and represent 11%.

**Illustration of the complaint handling competency given to the regulator**

**In Italy**

The rules for the carrying out of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) procedures in the regulated sectors are defined by the regulator’s resolution, covering the conciliation service and all the conciliation bodies.

The regulator ARERA (Autorità di Regolazione per Energia Reti e Ambiente) can, to some extent, resolve the complaints and disputes of household consumers by verifying the ground of the client’s claims and, if found valid, by asking the supplier to resolve the problem. The regulatory authority does not pursue further, i.e., if the supplier pays an automatic compensation to the consumer within the maximum deadline.

Complaint handling is the exclusive responsibility of suppliers and implies the communication of a written, motivated and documented reply to a consumer's written complaint.

A ‘written complaint’ is defined by the regulation set by ARERA as any written communication, even by electronic means, sent to the service provider (supplier or DSO, where applicable).

Through this written complaint, the household consumer, his/her legal representative, or a consumers’ organisation expresses their complaints about the non-compliance of the service with one or more requirements defined by the law or regulatory provisions, by the supply contract or by any other act governing the relationship between the supplier and the consumer.

**Recommendations**

- The consumers’ right to complain should be provided by the legislative and regulatory instruments.
- The terms “dispute resolution” and/or “complaint handling” should be defined in the national legal system related to the energy market.
- When the legal basis may be subject to interpretation and subjectivism, it should be strengthened, preferably through legislative means.
- The existence of complaint handling and dispute resolution procedures is highly recommended to ensure a structural approach and legal certainty to the proceedings.
How do dispute settlements and complaint handling procedures look like in the Mediterranean and the Balkans?

### 7 MEDREG COUNTRIES

| 1. ALGERIA |
| 2. EGYPT |
| 3. ITALY |
| 4. JORDAN |
| 5. MALTA |
| 6. PORTUGAL |
| 7. TURKEY |

have clear procedures in place.

### THE BALKAN COUNTRIES

The complaint shall be submitted to the regulator.

1. The regulator requests the opinion of the supplier (first instance body).

2. The complaint shall be submitted to the regulator.

3. The deadline for the decision on complaints ranges from 30 days (Moldova) to 60 days (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kosovo, North Macedonia and Serbia).

4. It is possible to extend this deadline for an additional 30 or 60 days, for research and evidence collection.

### CONCRETE EXAMPLES FROM MEDREG COUNTRIES

#### IN ALGERIA

The complainant must first contact his distributor to inform him of his dissatisfaction by following three levels of recourse:

1. The Commercial Agency; and

If the complainant is dissatisfied with the treatment of his request or if he has not received a response from the distribution company after a maximum period of three months, he may introduce an appeal to the energy regulator CREG along with the necessary supporting documents.

The regulator collects the necessary information on the request to analyse and decide on the admissibility of the case and its competence to treat it. Cases are considered inadmissible when the complainant does not use all internal distributor remedies, the appeal file is incomplete and/or the dispute is being reviewed or decided by a competent court.

In all cases, the regulator has a maximum of three working days to come back to the complainant and inform him of the outcomes of his appeal in the form of an acknowledgment of receipt.

The regulator may, if necessary, bring together the parties concerned to try to reach an amicable solution.

In the event that one of the two parties is not satisfied with CREG’s position in relation to the appeal, it can bring the dispute before the competent courts.

#### IN JORDAN

The complaint shall be submitted to the regulator.

1. The regulator requests the opinion of the supplier (first instance body).

2. The procedure may last up to maximum 120 days until a decision is taken. This ensures that the consumers’ complaints are redressed by the NRAs within a particular timeframe.

3. The deadline for the decision on complaints ranges from 30 days (Moldova) to 60 days (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kosovo, North Macedonia and Serbia).

4. It is possible to extend this deadline for an additional 30 or 60 days, for research and evidence collection.

#### IN PALESTINE

The consumer has to submit a written complaint to any branch of the distribution company;

- The distribution company provides the consumer with the required clarifications, information and guidance on how to submit his complaint within a maximum of three days;
- The distribution company notifies the Palestinian Electricity Regulatory Council (PERC) of receiving the complaint;
- The distribution company has to reply in a written response to the consumer within 14 days.
- In case the distribution company requires a discussion, the consumer should be informed within five days.

The deadline for the decision ranges from 30 days (Moldova) to 60 days (Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kosovo, North Macedonia and Serbia).

It is possible to extend this deadline for an additional 30 or 60 days, for research and evidence collection.

The procedure may last up to maximum 120 days until a decision is taken. This ensures that the consumers’ complaints are redressed by the NRAs within a particular timeframe.
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1. IN NEARLY ALL CASES, THE FINAL SETTLEMENT DETERMINED BY THE REGULATOR OR REACHED BY THE PARTIES IS BINDING

The lack of a binding character in the final dispute resolution decision would render the whole process ineffective.

In Mediterranean countries

In all applicable cases

In nearly all cases

the legal effects of the settlement outcome vary:
- In Algeria and Portugal, the regulators have no power to impose a legally binding settlement outcome.
- In the case of Egypt, Italy and Malta, the regulator can impose a legally binding settlement outcome.
- In the Balkan countries, the decisions of the regulatory authorities are binding, except for North Macedonia and Serbia.

the regulator must notify the parties of its decision in writing and by means of a dated decision.

including when there is recourse to voluntary alternative dispute resolution (ADR) procedures:
- The regulators must motivate their decisions;
- In the case of a regulatory decision, the final settlement is subject to fines and enforcement in the case of non-compliance by the service provider;
- The regulator’s decisions are appealable before the High Court and administrative tribunals.

WHAT DOES “BINDING DECISION” MEAN?

It means that the decisions taken by out-of-court responsible bodies are compulsory for the parties involved. Even when the decision is expressed in the form of recommendations, they constitute settlement proposals that have to be accepted by the parties.

Note: Three member countries did not provide a reply to this question.
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2. MOST COMMON TYPES OF COMPLAINTS RECEIVED AND DEALT BY THE REGULATOR

- Billing:
- Metering:
- Quality of supply and interruption issues

In general, regulatory authorities are able to deal with cases that apply to their range of responsibilities in the retail and distribution segments of the market.

A FEW ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE AREAS OF COMPETENCE OF THE REGULATORS FOR COMPLAINTS AND DISPUTES:

IN BOSNIA and HERZEGOVINA AND MONTENEGRO
- Supply interruption

IN JORDAN
- Hear/accept any complaint from consumers

IN MALTA
- Service connections, failure to provide electricity to the consumer, breaches of the customer contract, disconnection of consumers and billing issues

IN PORTUGAL
- Connection issues with electricity and natural gas distribution networks, supply contract issues and metering, billing and supply interruptions

IN TURKEY
- All issues in the electricity market for which the regulator is responsible

IN GEORGIA
- Theft of electricity

3. MOST COUNTRIES HAVE OTHER INSTITUTIONS DEALING WITH ENERGY RELATED CONSUMER COMPLAINTS AND FORMAL DISPUTE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES

With the exception of Algeria, Jordan, Malta and Turkey, all other MEDREG respondent countries have other institutions dealing with energy related customer complaints and/or formal dispute settlement procedures.

In most respondent cases, only judicial institutions besides the regulator may deal with energy-related customer complaints and/or dispute resolution procedures.

→ While in some cases, this reality has the benefit of ensuring an ‘arm’s length’ approach to the resolving of disputes, this may have the disadvantage, depending on the national context, of dispersing the necessary specialised know-how to address such cases through sectorial expertise, of which regulatory authorities are an important depository, also involving some possible extra costs.

4. A VARIETY OF MEANS TO INFORM CONSUMERS ABOUT THEIR RIGHT TO COMPLAIN

Information on the right to complaint is part of the principle of transparency and involves information on the authority to which to submit the complaint as well as the procedures, timelines and possible costs of the procedure.

Most common ways to inform customers of their right to complain:

1. Contracts
2. Websites, energy bills and leaflets
3. Conferences and interviews
IN PORTUGAL

The consumer has to first wait for the answer of his service provider, which can take maximum 15 working days. If there is no answer or the answer is not satisfactory, the consumer can then appeal to the regulator.

IN GREECE, JORDAN AND TURKEY

Consumers can file their complaint directly to the regulator without any prerequisites. In Spain, consumers can go to the court directly, but it is considered preferable to first go to the service provider and, if this proves ineffective, then to the competent regional authority.

5. A GOOD LEVEL OF CONSUMER INFORMATION FROM ENERGY SERVICE PROVIDER

In almost all the Mediterranean and Balkan countries, the energy service provider informs consumers of all relevant information concerning:

- Price
- Connection and disconnection rules and fees
- Terms of bill payments
- Dispute rules, etc.

6. DO CONSUMERS HAVE OBLIGATIONS TOO?

In most countries, consumers must first file their complaint to the service provider before being entitled to complain to and/or file a formal dispute settlement procedure with the regulator.

This is the case in Algeria, Egypt, Italy, Malta, Palestine and Portugal as well as in Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, North Macedonia, Kosovo, Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia and Ukraine.

IN GREECE, JORDAN AND TURKEY

Consumers can file their complaint directly to the regulator without any prerequisites. In Spain, consumers can go to the court directly, but it is considered preferable to first go to the service provider and, if this proves ineffective, then to the competent regional authority.

7. HOW DO REGULATORY AUTHORITIES EXERCISE THEIR POWER OF DISPUTE SETTLEMENT?

In all countries covered by the survey:

- Regulatory authorities have access to complaint-related data.
- This access is ensured by law.

All energy regulators are constrained by specific periods to address complaints

This ensures that, within an established timeframe, consumers are able to receive compensation or a reply to their complaint from the energy regulator.

An extension of the proceedings is generally allowed in complex cases which require more time to be adequately addressed.

The time the regulator takes to address or conclude an issue is considered to be mostly adequate.

All regulatory authorities have specific periods to review the complaints, analyse the facts and documents and issue a decision, with the exception of Turkey where the regulator has no time limit to decide.

Average time taken by a regulator in the Mediterranean and Balkan regions to:

- address an issue: 9 days
- issue a decision: 53 days
- reach an agreement: 90 days
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### Maximum Number of Days by Which the Regulators Must Handle the Complaint:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Address the Issue</th>
<th>Issue a Decision</th>
<th>Reach an Agreement</th>
<th>Maximum Time to Solve the Dispute</th>
<th>Possible Time Extension</th>
<th>Distributor to Reply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Macedonia</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Do Consumers Receive Compensation for the Providers’ Inadequate Performance?

There are compensation schemes provided by the service provider.

**Examples:**
- **In Albania** and **Montenegro**, the surplus of undue collected money for electricity consumed is refunded.
- **Algeria** does not have a precise scheme but the regulatory provision is mentioned in the law.
- **In Greece**, the compensation amount is decided by the NRA.
- **In Italy**, there are automatic compensation schemes applied in different cases of supplier’s inadequate performance, varying from 25€ to 75€.
- **In Malta**, there are automatic compensation schemes in force where compensation can amount up to 3,500 €.

**Recommendations**
- Compensation schemes from the service provider should be foreseen, as this ensures direct and adequate means to address complaints/disputes in a timely and inexpensive manner.

→ If the procedures in place were inexpensive and efficient to complete, the lack of a reimbursement would not impair the consumer protection frame.

→ However, if the complaint processes involve significant costs for the consumer to advance his demand for redress, the issue of reimbursement of costs should be discussed.

IN SOME MEDREG COUNTRIES

IN ALL CASES
9. HOW DO CONSUMERS SUBMIT THEIR COMPLAINTS TO THE REGULATOR?

**IN MOST CASES**
The consumer must use the supplier’s own mechanisms for complaints handling in the first instance, before appealing to the regulator.

**IN FEW CASES**
The consumer may directly approach the regulator.

**IN MOST CASES**
There are no special procedures in place to turn complaint handling into a formal dispute settlement procedure.

---

**THE MAIN MEANS AVAILABLE TO CONSUMERS TO CONTACT THE REGULATOR AND ADDRESS THEIR COMPLAINTS:**

1. Letters and emails
2. Walk-in/direct submission
3. Online form
4. Telephone and fax
5. WhatsApp

---

10. THE REGULATOR OFTEN ACTS AS A DISPUTE SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY

**YES**
In Albania, Egypt, Georgia, Jordan, North Macedonia, Malta, Moldova, Montenegro, Portugal and Turkey.

**To some extent**
In Algeria and Greece. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Serbia and Ukraine, the regulatory authority can act as a dispute settlement authority, provided that other means of resolving the dispute in a timely manner are not available to the parties.

**NO**
In Italy, Palestine and Spain.

---

**Recommendations**
Special procedures should be in place to turn complaint handling into formal dispute settlement procedures in order to increase the efficiency of the adequate treatment of the consumer’s objection.
11. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE SERVICE PROVIDER DOES NOT APPLY THE REGULATOR’S DECISION RELATED TO A COMPLAINT/DISPUTE SETTLEMENT?

- The service provider is obliged to implement the regulator’s decision.
- It is rare that the service providers do not apply the regulator’s decision related to a complaint.
- If the service provider does not apply the regulator’s decision, penalties and sanctions may apply.

IF THE SERVICE PROVIDER DOES NOT APPLY THE REGULATOR’S DECISION

IN EGYPT
The service provider must give its opinion based on the legal basis to the regulator, but, in all cases, it is obliged to implement the regulator’s decision.

IN GREECE
The regulator, after hearing the interested parties, might impose fines of up to 10% of the supplier’s annual turnover in the case of non-compliance.

IN JORDAN
Penalties would apply.

IN MALTA
An administrative fine may apply.

MEDREG WILL:

→ Discuss the findings of this report with its member regulators and stakeholders to raise awareness of consumers’ rights protection at a higher level;
→ Monitor the improvement towards more efficient practices and higher standards of consumer protection.
The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of MEDREG and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.
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